

**Subject card**

<b>Subject name and code</b>	General philosophy - lecture, PG_00132246						
<b>Field of study</b>	Criminology						
<b>Date of commencement of studies</b>	October 2023	<b>Academic year of realisation of subject</b>			2025/2026		
<b>Education level</b>	Bachelor's studies	<b>Subject group</b>			Optional subject group		
<b>Mode of study</b>	part-time studies	<b>Mode of delivery</b>			at the university		
<b>Year of study</b>	3	<b>Language of instruction</b>			Polish		
<b>Semester of study</b>	6	<b>ECTS credits</b>			2.0		
<b>Learning profile</b>	academic	<b>Assessment form</b>			credit		
<b>Conducting unit</b>	Faculty of Law and Administration -> Rector						
<b>Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)</b>	<b>Subject supervisor</b>		dr hab. Maciej Wojciechowski				
	<b>Teachers</b>						
<b>Lesson types</b>	<b>Lesson type</b>	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	<b>Number of study hours</b>	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
<b>Learning activity and number of study hours</b>	<b>Learning activity</b>	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	<b>Number of study hours</b>	10		0.0		40.0	50
<b>Subject objectives</b>	<p>The aim of our meetings is to show that philosophy is not boring history, but a living discipline in which the questions asked are interesting, important, and can be relevant to our lives. We will not follow the history of the views of great philosophers. We will ask questions (e.g., What is tolerance?, Why act honestly when dishonesty pays off?, do lawyers know anything about the law?) and looking for answers to them, possibly referring to their works. The aim is also to show that philosophizing is the art of clearly and coherently justifying one's judgments. We will be guided by a concise and accurate definition of philosophy as thinking about thinking. Where possible, we will look for a transition from philosophical problems to problems that lawyers encounter in their practice.</p> <p>Translated with DeepL.com (free version)</p>						
<b>Learning outcomes</b>	<b>Course outcome</b>		<b>Subject outcome</b>		<b>Method of verification</b>		
	[KRYML3_UU02] He/she can use basic theoretical knowledge in the field of criminology and related disciplines in order to analyze, interpret and solve problems related to criminology.		does not concern		[SU3] text preparation/written work		
	[KRYML3_KK01] The graduate is aware of the level of his/her knowledge and skills and understands the need for lifelong learning.		does not concern		[SK3] text preparation/written work		
	[KRYML3_WG02] The graduates knows the basic terminology and basic concepts in the field of law, criminology and related sciences.		does not concern		[SW2] presentation/project/paper/report [SW3] text preparation/written work		

Subject contents	1. who is a philosopher and branches of philosophy 2. analytic and non-analytic philosophy 3. philosophy of morals - the problem of distinction 4. Factors determining the content of moral norms 5. tolerance 6. ethical subjectivism (B. Williams) 7. Evidence for the existence of God. 8. Is there a moral obligation to obey the law? 9. paternalism		
Prerequisites and co-requisites			
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
	journal of lecture	50.0%	100.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	N. Warburton, <i>Filozofia od podstaw</i> , Warszawa 1999	
	Supplementary literature	T. B. Brandt, <i>Etyka zagadnienia etyki normatywnej i metaetyki</i> , Warszawa 1996;  P. Hadot, <i>Filozofia jako ćwiczenie duchowe</i> , Warszawa 2003;  M. Król, <i>Filozofia polityczna</i> , Kraków 2009  M. Ossowska, <i>Socjologia moralności. Zarys zagadnień</i> , Warszawa 1995  A. Morton, <i>Przewodnik po teorii poznania</i> , Warszawa 2002  T. Pietrzykowski, <i>Etyczne problemy prawa</i> , Katowice 2005  J. Stelmach, B. Brożek, <i>Metody prawnicze</i> , Zakamycze 2007  J. Woleński, <i>Epistemologia, t. 2 Wiedza i poznanie</i> , Kraków 2001  J. Zajadło, <i>Po co prawnikom filozofia prawa</i> , Warszawa 2008  I. Ziemiński, <i>Credo sceptyka Wprowadzenie do debaty na temat: Co wiemy o istnieniu Boga?</i> <a href="http://www.diametros.iphils.uj.edu.pl/?l=1&amp;p=deb5&amp;m=17&amp;if=12">http://www.diametros.iphils.uj.edu.pl/?l=1&amp;p=deb5&amp;m=17&amp;if=12</a>	
	eResources addresses		
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	What fact falsifies the subjectivism thesis?		
Work placement	Not applicable		

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.