

Subject card

Subject name and code	Philosophy of mathematics, PG_00150842						
Field of study	Philosophy						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2024	Academic year of realisation of subject			2026/2027		
Education level	Bachelor's studies	Subject group			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Optional subject group Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study		
Mode of study	full-time studies	Mode of delivery			at the university		
Year of study	3	Language of instruction			Polish		
Semester of study	5	ECTS credits			2.0		
Learning profile	academic	Assessment form			exam		
Conducting unit	Division of Logic, Philosophy of Science and Epistemology -> Institute of Philosophy -> Faculty of Social Sciences -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		dr Bartosz Wcislo				
	Teachers						
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	Number of study hours	30		2.0		18.0	50
Subject objectives	N.d.						

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[FILOZL3_W03] He/she is familiar with advanced philosophical terminology in a foreign language of choice.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W02] He/she is familiar with advanced philosophical terminology in Polish	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U13] He/she formulates orally and in writing philosophical problems, puts forward theses and articulates his/her own views on social and world-view issues.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U12] The graduate detects simple relations between the formation of philosophical ideas and social and cultural processes.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_K02] The graduate is open to new ideas and is ready to change his/her opinion in the light of available data and arguments	N.d.	[SK1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[FILOZL3_U17] The graduate selects argumentative strategies, constructs critical arguments at an elementary level, formulates responses to criticism.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U20] The graduate clarifies ideas and reconstructs and constructs various types of arguments, referring to the basic premises of a given view.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_K01] The graduate knows the scope of his/her knowledge and skills, understands the need for continuous training and professional development.	N.d.	[SK4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_K06] He/she understands the ethical issues connected with the responsibility for the accuracy of the knowledge conveyed, with scientific honesty and reliability and honesty in a situation of disputes.	N.d.	[SK4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W05] He/she has a structured knowledge and understanding of the main trends within the blocks of philosophical subdisciplines: 1) logic, metaphysics, epistemology, philosophy of mind or 2) ethics, philosophy of politics, social philosophy, or 3) aesthetics, philosophy of culture.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U07] The graduate analyzes philosophical arguments and identifies their key theses and assumptions.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[FILOZL3_K03] Based on a creative analysis of new situations and problems, he/she independently formulates proposals for their solution.	N.d.	[SK1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[FILOZL3_U06] The graduate accurately defines the common language concepts and correctly designs the definitions.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[FILOZL3_W08] The graduate understands the main trends and approaches of contemporary philosophy.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written

	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[FILOZL3_W09] He/she knows basic research methods and argumentation strategies proper for one of the blocks of the main philosophical subdisciplines: 1) logic, metaphysics, epistemology, philosophy of mind or 2) ethics, philosophy of politics, social philosophy, or 3) aesthetics, philosophy of culture.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W10] The graduate knows methods of interpreting philosophical texts.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U09] The graduate uses the knowledge of the basics of logic and applies typical argumentation strategies.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U10] He/she justifies and criticizes generalizations in the light of available testimonies	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U11] He/she cites the main theses of examined philosophical statements according to their relevance.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W07] The graduate understands at a basic level the role of philosophical reflection in shaping culture, understands the main trends and approaches of contemporary philosophy.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U04] The graduate knows the methods of interpreting a philosophical text, can read and interpret a philosophical text.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U05] The graduate correctly applies the learned philosophical terminology.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[FILOZL3_K04] The graduate independently undertakes and initiates simple actions.	N.d.	[SK4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U08] The graduate detects relationships between the theses of researched written and oral philosophical statements.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W01] The graduate has advanced knowledge of the place and significance of philosophy in relation to sciences as well as the material and methodological specificity of philosophy	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
Subject contents	N.d.		
Prerequisites and co-requisites	N.d.		
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
		50.0%	100.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	N.d.	
	Supplementary literature	N.d.	
	eResources addresses		
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed			
Work placement	Not applicable		

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.