

Subject card

Subject name and code	Introduction to philosophy - lecture, PG_00167904						
Field of study	Criminology						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2025		Academic year of realisation of subject		2025/2026		
Education level	Bachelor's studies		Subject group		Optional subject group		
Mode of study	part-time studies		Mode of delivery		at the university		
Year of study	1		Language of instruction		Polish Polish		
Semester of study	1		ECTS credits		3.0		
Learning profile	academic		Assessment form		credit		
Conducting unit	Faculty of Law and Administration -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		dr hab. Maciej Wojciechowski				
	Teachers						
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	Number of study hours	15		0.0		60.0	75
Subject objectives	The aim of our meetings is to show that philosophy is not boring history, but a living discipline in which the questions asked are interesting, important, and can be relevant to our lives. We will not follow the history of the views of great philosophers. We will ask questions (e.g., What is tolerance?, Why act honestly when dishonesty pays off?, do lawyers know anything about the law?) and looking for answers to them, possibly referring to their works. The aim is also to show that philosophizing is the art of clearly and coherently justifying one's judgments. We will be guided by a concise and accurate definition of philosophy as thinking about thinking. Where possible, we will look for a transition from philosophical problems to problems that lawyers encounter in their practice. Translated with DeepL.com (free version)						
Learning outcomes	Course outcome		Subject outcome		Method of verification		
	[KRYML3_UU02] In an advanced degree, he understands and can analyze social phenomena and use the results of this analysis in his professional work. Is able to recognize and analyze moral and legal dilemmas in professional work		does not concern		[SU3] text preparation/written work [SU7] entries and opinions in the internship diary		
	[KRYML3_WG01] Has advanced knowledge of the nature of legal sciences, including, in particular, the branches of law related to the studied major, knows their place in the field of social sciences and understands the relationship between them		does not concern		[SW2] presentation/project/paper/report [SW3] text preparation/written work		
	[KRYML3_WG02] To an advanced degree, he knows the terminology and key concepts of law, criminology and related sciences, including law, psychology and sociology, to the extent related to the studied major		does not concern		[SW2] presentation/project/paper/report [SW3] text preparation/written work		

Subject contents	1. who is a philosopher and branches of philosophy 2. analytic and non-analytic philosophy 3. philosophy of morals - the problem of distinction 4 Factors determining the content of moral norms 5. tolerance 6. ethical subjectivism (B. Williams) 7 Evidence for the existence of God. 8. Is there a moral obligation to obey the law? 9. paternalism		
Prerequisites and co-requisites			
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
	journal of lecture	50.0%	100.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	N. Warburton, <i>Filozofia od podstaw</i> , Warszawa 1999	
	Supplementary literature	T. B. Brandt, <i>Etyka zagadnienia etyki normatywnej i metaetyki</i> , Warszawa 1996; P. Hadot, <i>Filozofia jako ćwiczenie duchowe</i> , Warszawa 2003; M. Król, <i>Filozofia polityczna</i> , Kraków 2009 M. Ossowska, <i>Socjologia moralności. Zarys zagadnień</i> , Warszawa 1995 A. Morton, <i>Przewodnik po teorii poznania</i> , Warszawa 2002 T. Pietrzykowski, <i>Etyczne problemy prawa</i> , Katowice 2005 J. Stelmach, B. Brożek, <i>Metody prawnicze</i> , Zakamycze 2007 J. Woleński, <i>Epistemologia, t. 2 Wiedza i poznanie</i> , Kraków 2001 J. Zajadło, <i>Po co prawnikom filozofia prawa</i> , Warszawa 2008 I. Ziemiński, <i>Credo sceptyka Wprowadzenie do debaty na temat: Co wiemy o istnieniu Boga?</i> http://www.diametros.iphils.uj.edu.pl/?l=1&p=deb5&m=17&if=12	
		eResources addresses	
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	What fact falsifies the subjectivism thesis?		
Work placement	Not applicable		

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.