

**Subject card**

<b>Subject name and code</b>	Logic and argumentation in administrative practice - auditorium classes, PG_00197102						
<b>Field of study</b>	Administration						
<b>Date of commencement of studies</b>	October 2026	<b>Academic year of realisation of subject</b>			2026/2027		
<b>Education level</b>	Bachelor's studies	<b>Subject group</b>			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study		
<b>Mode of study</b>	full-time studies	<b>Mode of delivery</b>			at the university		
<b>Year of study</b>	1	<b>Language of instruction</b>			Polish		
<b>Semester of study</b>	1	<b>ECTS credits</b>			3.0		
<b>Learning profile</b>	academic	<b>Assessment form</b>			credit		
<b>Conducting unit</b>	Department of Administrative Law -> Faculty of Law and Administration -> Rector						
<b>Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)</b>	<b>Subject supervisor</b>		mgr Przemysław Rybiński				
	<b>Teachers</b>		mgr Wojciech Jankowski				
<b>Lesson types</b>	<b>Lesson type</b>	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	<b>Number of study hours</b>	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
<b>Learning activity and number of study hours</b>	<b>Learning activity</b>	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	<b>Number of study hours</b>	30		2.0		43.0	75
<b>Subject objectives</b>	Providing participants with basic information in the fields of logical semiotics, general methodology of science, legal argumentation, and formal logic, with particular emphasis on elements useful in the intellectual work of lawyers and administrative professionals.						

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[ADMINL3_U02] is able to communicate on matters typical for professional work related to their field of study and to prepare oral presentations, written papers, and a legal document concerning basic issues related to the organization and functioning of public administration, administrative activity, and the application of law in selected areas of social and economic life; including the ability to draft a typical administrative act and an application in an administrative case.	-	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written [SU5] implementation of a problem task
	[ADMINL3_K03] is prepared to perform duties in public administration in a responsible manner, with due regard for professional ethics, including in positions requiring the professional title of Bachelor's degree holder. Furthermore, he/she is ready to undertake employment in other entities in roles related to administrative activities and the application of law within specified areas of social and economic life.	-	[SK4] test/exam - oral or written [SK5] implementation of a problem task [SK8] observation of student's independent or team work
	[ADMINL3_W01] possesses an advanced level of knowledge and understanding of the theories, methods, concepts, and terminology specific to legal sciences as well as the study of administration and politics.	-	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW5] implementation of a problem task
	[ADMINL3_U05] is able to assess the level of their knowledge and skills, and can independently plan and carry out the need for lifelong learning	-	[SU5] implementation of a problem task [SU8] observation of student's independent or team work
	[ADMINL3_W03] possesses advanced knowledge of, and understands, the procedures applied within public administration, as well as the various methods and instruments — both legal and non-legal — employed in administrative activity.	-	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW5] implementation of a problem task
Subject contents	<p>1. Language as a system of signs. Language and its role in the work of lawyers and administrative professionals. Elements of semantic analysis. 2. Names. Types of names. Relations between the scope of names. 3. Definitions. The essence, functions, and structure of definitions. Errors in defining. Definitions in legal texts. 4. Logical division. The essence and conditions for the correctness of logical division. Classification. Typology. Partition. 5. Propositional logic. Extensional operators. Tautologies and methods for verifying tautologies. Building logical functions. 6. Syllogistics. The logical square. Checking the validity of a syllogism. 7. Elements of modal logic. The deontic hexagon. Reasoning involving deontic modalities. 8. Elements of erotetic logic. The structure of a question. The correctness of a question. 9. Argumentation.</p>		
Prerequisites and co-requisites			
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
	text exam with logical tasks	51.0%	100.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	Nawrot O., Wprowadzenie do logiki dla prawników, Warszawa 2020	

	Supplementary literature	<p>Bocheński J.M., Logika, tłum. K. Policki i M. Błaszczyk, Kraków 2016</p> <p>Gregorowicz J., Zarys logiki dla prawników, Łódź 1995</p> <p>Kotarbiński T., Kurs logiki dla prawników, Warszawa 1961</p> <p>Lewandowski S., Malinowski A., Petzel J. (red.), Logika dla prawników: słownik encyklopedyczny, Warszawa 2021</p> <p>Pinker S., Rationality: what it is, why it seems scarce, why it matters, London 2021</p> <p>Stanosz B., Ćwiczenia z logiki, Warszawa 2012</p> <p>Szymanek K., Wieczorek K.A., Wójcik A.S. (red.), Sztuka argumentacji: ćwiczenia w badaniu argumentów, Warszawa 2008</p> <p>Ziembiński Z., Świrydowicz K., Logika praktyczna, Warszawa 2014</p> <p>Żarnecka-Biały E., Mała logika: podstawy logicznej analizy tekstów, wnioskowania i argumentacji, Kraków 1999</p>
	eResources addresses	
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	1. Elements of semantic analysis. 2. Analysis of the scope of names (practical exercise). 3. Identifying types of definitions. 4. Identifying divisions, classifications, and typologies. 5. The truth table method, abbreviated truth table method. 6. The Venn diagram method. 7. Exercise in reasoning with modalities. 8. Exercise in formulating a list of questions for a witness in a sample proceeding. 9. Exercise in the correctness of argumentation.	
Work placement	Not applicable	

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.