

Subject card

Subject name and code	Logics in Computer Science, PG_00203606						
Field of study	Informatics						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2026	Academic year of realisation of subject			2026/2027		
Education level	Master's studies	Subject group			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study		
Mode of study	full-time studies	Mode of delivery			at the university		
Year of study	1	Language of instruction			Polish		
Semester of study	1	ECTS credits			5.0		
Learning profile	academic	Assessment form			exam		
Conducting unit	Institute of Informatics -> Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		dr Andrzej Borzyszkowski				
	Teachers						
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	60
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan	Participation in consultation hours	Self-study	SUM		
	Number of study hours	60	0.0	65.0	125		
Subject objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • role and applications of logical calculi in computer science • examples of logics relevant in computer science • various methods of modeling and verification of properties of information processing systems • selected tools to support the modeling, proving and verification of properties 						
Learning outcomes	Course outcome		Subject outcome		Method of verification		
	[[INFMU2_W01] has in-depth knowledge of the branches of mathematics necessary for the study of computer science; has a good understanding of the role and importance of the construction of mathematical reasoning						
	[[INFMU2_U01] can apply mathematical knowledge to individually formulate, analyze and solve tasks related to computer science		is able to prepare a model of a simple IT system using tools such as SPIN and/or Alloy is able to prove the theorem of a classical and an intuitionist logic, can prove that the theorem of a classical logic is not a theorem of an intuitionistic logic is able to express the some property of an IT system in temporal logic, LTL, CTL, CTL*, distinguishes between these logics		[SU5] implementation of a problem task		
	[[INFMU2_U02] is able to formulate questions with precision, serving to deepen his/her own reasoning on a given topic or to find missing elements of reasoning		is able to precisely formulate questions to deepen their own reasoning on a given topic or to find missing elements of a reasoning		[SU5] implementation of a problem task		

Subject contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Classical propositional calculus: syntax, semantics, basic (meta)properties, natural deduction proof system • Satisfiability Problem (SAT) • Intuitionist logic: constructive interpretation of logical connectives, semantics based on Kripke structures • Logic of first-order predicates: syntax, semantics, the most important (meta)properties, natural deduction proof system • Applications of predicate logic to specification and modeling of systems • Temporal logics: LTL, CTL, CTL* • Model verification of temporal properties 		
Prerequisites and co-requisites			
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
	test	51.0%	60.0%
	exam	51.0%	40.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lecture notes/slides. • Michael Huth, Mark Ryan, Logic in Computer Science, Modelling and Reasoning about Systems, Cambridge University Press, 2004. • Daniel Jackson. Software Abstractions: Logic, Language, and Analysis, Revised Edition, The MIT Press, 2012 	
	Supplementary literature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gerard J. Holzmann, The Spin Model Checker, Primer and Reference Manual, Addison-Wesley, 2004. 	
	eResources addresses		
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	<p>Using the method of natural deduction, prove that in classical propositional calculus $(A \rightarrow B) \vee (B \rightarrow C)$</p> <p>Construct a model M such that $M \models G F p$ and $M \not\models AG EF p$</p> <p>What is undecidability? Give an example and justify.</p>		
Work placement	Not applicable		

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.